

The Scientist 16[13]:24, Jun. 24, 2002

RESEARCH

Nature's Own Version of Superglue

Understanding how insect feet adhere to slippery, wet surfaces has been a centuries-long quest

By Leslie Pray

Image courtesy of Isle of Wight History Centre



A close-up picture of the common fly.

"The foot of a fly is a most admirable and curious contrivance, for by this the flies are enabled to walk against the sides of glass, perpendicularly upwards, and to contain themselves in that posture long as they please; nay, to walk and suspend themselves against the undersurface of many bodies, as the ceiling of a room, or the like ... its mechanism consists principally of two parts, that is, first its two claws, or tallons, and secondly, two palms ..." 1

Robert Hooke, 1664

Scientists have studied insect feet ever since Hooke drew the first microscopic images of fly "tallons" and "palms" nearly 400 years ago. "It's a very old subject," says entomologist Walter Federle of the University of California, Berkeley, and leading author of a recent study on how Asian weaver ants (*Oecophylla smaragdina*) and honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) grip smooth surfaces and even walk upside down.

quite complicated way," says Federle. "Several movements are controlled by this single muscle. The interesting thing is that all of these movements do not occur at the same time."

With each step, the claws are extended first so that they are the first part of the foot that actually touches the surface. They then immediately retract, and the arolium unfolds and inflates with blood so that it protrudes between the claws and touches the surface. At the end of the step, which takes only a fraction of a second, the arolium deflates and folds back and the claws extend again.

Although an insect can actively fold and unfold its arolia as it is moving, the arolia can also move independently without any input from the insect. Indeed, one of the most important things learned from this study, according to Federle, is that two different mechanisms of arolium motion exist: active and passive. By pulling amputated legs across a glass surface, the researchers recorded this passive response to a pull on the leg. Passive mechanical action does not require any neuronal feedback, which means that the action happens at the same time that the force is exerted. It is faster than the kind of reflex that requires neuronal control. "This makes sense biologically," says Federle, "because very often, very large forces are acting on these animals, like wind or raindrops." Without this passive action, the insect could not respond in time and would likely be bounced off the plant.

Image courtesy of E.N. Moudrianakis



Gripping Gear: A scanning electron micrograph of the *Drosophila* foot with claws and suction cups.

Because the arolia hold on and detach very easily, they may serve as a model for microrobotic engineers, notes Federle. Indeed, insect biomechanics is a field ripe for design engineers, particularly when it comes to miniaturization. "Microscopical engineering devices often require completely new designs if compared with macroscopical systems," says Max Planck's Gorb. "At small dimension, adhesion force plays a considerable role in mechanical behavior." Because of this, engineers have a difficult time making microrobots that move. "The problems these engineers have are quite similar to these problems that insects have," says Federle, and they may have something to gain by letting the insects do the walking. Gorb agrees: "Insects and other animals have solved some problems in their evolution, so why not look for engineering design solutions in the living systems?"

Leslie Pray (lpray@nasw.org) is a freelance writer in Leverett, Mass.

References

1. R. Hooke, *Micrographia: Physiological Descriptions of Minute Bodies made by Magnifying Glasses*, London: Council of the Royal Society of London, 1664.
2. R. E. Snodgrass, *Anatomy of the Honey Bee*, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1956.
3. W. Federle et al., "Biomechanics of the movable pretarsal adhesive organ in ants and bees," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98:6215-20, 2001.

The Scientist 16[13]:24, Jun. 24, 2002